

AF
Iw



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Chich Shang CHANG et al

Filed: 7/28/2003

Appl. No.: 10/628,893

Examiner: FOURSON III, GEORGE R

Conf. No.: 7424

Art Unit: 2823

Title: METHOD FOR FORMING A SINGLE-CRYSTAL SILICON LAYER ON A
TRANSPARENT SUBSTRATE

Date: January 25, 2006

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE COVER SHEET

Sir:

Attached hereto please find a response to the Office Action mailed on October 31,
2005.

No fee is believed to be due in connection with the filing of this paper. If, however, the
Commissioner considers that a fee is due in connection with the filing of this paper,
authorization is made to charge any fee which may be required to Deposit Account No.
502447.

Certificate of Mailing or Transmission

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited
with the United States Postal service with sufficient
postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to
Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box
1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, or is being facsimile
transmitted to the United States Patent & Trademark Office
to (571) 273-8300 on the date shown below:

Nelson A. Quintero

(Name of Person Transmitting)

(Signature)

January 25, 2006

(Date)

Respectfully submitted,

Nelson A. Quintero
Attorney for Applicant
Reg. No. 52,143
Customer No. 34,283

Telephone: (310) 401-6180



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Chich Shang CHANG et al.

Filed: 7/28/2003

Appl. No.: 10/628,893

Examiner: FOURSON III, GEORGE R

Conf. No.: 7424

Art Unit: 2823

Title: METHOD FOR FORMING A SINGLE-CRYSTAL SILICON LAYER ON A
TRANSPARENT SUBSTRATE

Date: January 25, 2006

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE

Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed on October 31, 2005 finally rejecting claims 1-3 and 5-7, Applicant respectfully requests that the above-identified application be reconsidered in view of the remarks which follow, that each of the pending claims be allowed, and that the application be passed to issue.

REMARKS

Present Status of Application

Claims 1-3 and 5-7 are pending. Claims 1-3 and 5-7 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Henley et al, Bachrach et al, and Murphy et al, and Usenko et al (US 6,387,829).

Applicant submits that claims 1-3 and 5-7 are clearly in condition for allowance, as will be discussed in further detail herein below. The remarks of the instant response are provided to further clarify and distinguish Applicant's invention over the prior art relied upon by the Examiner in the Final Office Action.